# Scoring Rubric

## FY26 Iowa Cancer Plan Implementation Grant

Project Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Total Dollar Amount Requested: Click or tap here to enter text.

Reviewer Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Does the application address one or more of the following priorities? How well do you think the project will address the priority(ies)?**   * Address issues of cancer disparities across the cancer continuum. * Address cancer prevention and/or early detection. * Expand successful local projects statewide in Iowa. * Formalize lasting and broad change in cancer control through policy and systems change within organizations, systems, and communities. | | | | | | | |
| **0 points**  Question skipped or priority(ies) not actually addressed in project proposal. | **1-5 points (Poor)**  Priority(ies) identified, and the project somewhat addresses the priority(ies). | | **6-10 points (Average)**  Priority(ies) identified, and the project addresses the priority(ies) adequately. | | | **11-15 points (Outstanding)**  Priority(ies) identified, and the project goes above and beyond to addresses the priority(ies). | **Points Awarded: (out of 15)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **Project summary and details**   * Is the project well thought-out? * Does the project seem likely to succeed as described? * Is the need clearly identified? * Is there a direct connection to the Iowa Cancer Plan? * Is the reach realistic and appropriate? * Is the target population appropriate and does the applicant seem likely to have a relationship with that population that will lead to project success? | | | | | | | |
| **0 points**  Question(s) skipped or incoherent. | | **1-6 points (Poor)**  Project meets some requirements above. | | **7-14 points (Average)**  Project meets most requirements above. | **15-20 points (Outstanding)**  Project meets and exceeds all requirements above. | | **Points Awarded: (out of 20)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **Project objectives**   * Are at least three objectives included? * Do the identified objectives align with the project description? * Are the identified objectives likely to help the project succeed? * Are the objectives specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, time bound, inclusive, and equitable (SMARTIE)? * Are baseline and target data identified? | | | | | | | |
| **0 points**  Question skipped or incoherent. | **1-5 points (Poor)**  Objectives meet some, but not all, of the requirements above. | | **6-10 points (Average)**  Objectives adequately meet the requirements above. | | | **11-15 points (Outstanding)**  Objectives go above and beyond the requirements above | **Points Awarded: (out of 15)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **Project collaborators**   * Is there at least one collaborator identified? * Is a letter of support included from each project collaborator? * Are identified partnerships likely to make the project stronger? * Is there evidence of support from communities the project will work with? | | | | | | | |
| **0 points**  No collaborators listed and/or no letters of support included. | **1-3 points (Poor)**  Some of the above requirements are met. | | **4-7 points (Average)**  All requirements above are adequately met. | | | **8-10 points (Outstanding)**  All requirements above are met and the entire project proposal is a collaboration between two or more groups. | **Points Awarded: (out of 10)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evidence and evaluation**   * Is there clear evidence to support this project as outlined? * Are proposed evaluation plans realistic and likely to provide a measure of project success and reach? | | | | |
| **0 points**  Question(s) skipped or incoherent. | **1-3 points (Poor)**  Some of the above requirements are met, and/or the answers do not adequately support the project. | **4-7 points (Average)**  All requirements above are adequately met. | **8-10 points (Outstanding)**  All requirements above are met with strong support for the proposed project. | **Points Awarded: (out of 10)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | |
| **Budget**   * Is the proposed budget likely to successfully support the project as outlined? * Do the budgeted amounts add up and match the total amount requested? * Is the budget calculated for an 11-month grant period? * Does the budget narrative/justification explain how funding will be used? * Are resumes/CVs included for all staff who will be paid using award dollars? | | | | |
| **0 points**  Question(s) skipped or incoherent. | **1 point per requirement met above (5 points maximum)** | | | **Points Awarded: (out of 5)**  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Notes:** *(Optional)*  Click or tap here to enter text. | | | | |
| **Total Score Awarded Out of 75 Points:** | | | | Click or tap here to enter text. |

Reviewers, thank you for your time! Please email your completed rubric(s) to Liz Orton at [orton@canceriowa.org](mailto:orton@canceriowa.org). Please reach out to her if you have any questions or concerns while reviewing your assigned proposals.