


# Scoring Rubric

## FY24 Iowa Cancer Plan Implementation Grant Cycle



Iowa Cancer  
Consortium

POINTS POSSIBLE:	POOR	MARGINAL	SATISFACTORY	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING
5	1	2	3	4	5
10	0-5	6	7	8-9	10
15	0-8	9-10	11	12-13	14-15
20	0-11	12-13	14-15	16-18	19-20

	Possible Score	Awarded Score
<p><b>1. How well does the application address the following priorities?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Address issues of health equity across the cancer continuum, especially pertaining to systemic racism, rurality, socioeconomic status, gender identity, sexual orientation, and other social determinants of health.</li> <li>- Address cancer control issues that have been especially impacted by the pandemic (e.g., cancer screenings, HPV vaccinations, or tobacco use).</li> <li>- Address cancer types/sites that are especially high and/or rising in Iowa (when ranked across all 50 U.S. states), such as oral cavity/pharynx (1st), leukemia (2nd), melanoma (5th), non-Hodgkin lymphoma (6th), colorectal (7th), uterine (11th), bladder (12th), breast (15th), prostate (16th), pancreatic (17th), or thyroid (20th).</li> <li>- Expand successful local projects statewide in Iowa.</li> <li>- Formalize lasting and broad change in cancer control through policy and systems change.</li> </ul>	/10	
<p><b>2. Project summary and details</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is the project well thought-out?</li> <li>- Does the project seem likely to succeed as described?</li> <li>- Is the need clearly identified?</li> <li>- Is there a direct connection to the Iowa Cancer Plan?</li> <li>- Is the reach realistic and appropriate?</li> <li>- Is the target population appropriate and does the applicant seem likely to have a relationship with that population that will lead to project success?</li> </ul>	/20	

POINTS POSSIBLE:	POOR	MARGINAL	SATISFACTORY	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING
5	1	2	3	4	5
10	0-5	6	7	8-9	10
15	0-8	9-10	11	12-13	14-15
20	0-11	12-13	14-15	16-18	19-20

<b>3. Project objectives</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Do the identified objectives align with the project description?</li> <li>- Are the identified objectives likely to help the project succeed?</li> <li>- Are the goals specific, measureable, achievable, realistic, and time bound (SMART)?</li> </ul>	/15	
<b>4. Project partners</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is a letter of support included from each project partner?</li> <li>- Are identified partnerships likely to make the project stronger?</li> </ul>	/5	
<b>5. Evidence and evaluation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is there clear evidence to support this project as outlined?</li> <li>- Are proposed evaluation plans realistic and likely to provide a measure of project success and reach?</li> </ul>	/15	
<b>6. Sustainability</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is it likely the project will be sustained following the project period?</li> <li>- Does the applicant have plans for and interest in disseminating findings beyond the project period?</li> <li>- Is this project likely to result in mid- to long-term change?</li> </ul>	/5	
<b>7. Budget</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is the proposed budget likely to successfully support the project as outlined?</li> <li>- Do the budgeted amounts add up?</li> </ul>	/5	
<b>Total score:</b>	/75	